



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/535,381

05/16/2005

Douglas Wade Beight

X16014

3880

25885

7590

02/27/2008

ELI LILLY & COMPANY

PATENT DIVISION

P.O. BOX 6288

INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46206-6288

EXAMINER

RAHMANI, NILOOFAR

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1625

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/27/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@lilly.com

|                          |                                      |                                      |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/535,381 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>BEIGHT ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>NILOOFAR RAHMANI  | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1625              |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NILOOFAR RAHMANI. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Danica Hotettler. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 14 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1) Claims 15-16 are method of treatment claims and should have been rejected under 112, 1st enablement. However, the attorney agreed to delete these claims to further prosecution. 2) Applicant will submit the case law (Yamanouchi Pharmaceutical composition vs. Danbury Pharmacal INC. [231f.3 d1339,1345, Fd 2000] or cut out some compounds to overcome the 103(a) rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/D. Margaret Seaman/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required